arXiv:1412.0910v1 [math.RT] 2 Dec 2014

SOME APPLICATIONS OF RECOLLEMENTS TO GORENSTEIN PROJECTIVE MODULES

MING LU

Abstract. We apply the technique of recollement to study the Gorenstein projective modules. First, we construct a recollement of defect Gorenstein categories for the upper triangular matrix algebras. Then we use the defect Gorenstein category to give a categorical interpretation of the Groenstein properties of the upper triangular matrix algebra obtained by X-W Chen, B. Xiong and P. Zhang respectively. Finally, as a generalization of the structure of the cluster-tilted algebra of type A, we define the gluing Nakayama algebra, and use recollement to describe its singularity category clearly.

1. Introduction

In the study of B-branes on Landau-Ginzburg models in the framework of Homological Mirror Symmetry Conjecture, D. Orlov rediscovered the notion of singularity categories [25, 26, 27]. The singularity category of an algebra A is defined to be the Verdier quotient of the bounded derived category with respect to the thick subcategory formed by complexes isomorphic to those consisting of finitely generated projective modules. It measures the homological singularity of an algebra in the sense that an algebra A has finite global dimension if and only if its singularity category vanishes.

In the meantime, the singularity category captures the stable homological features of an algebra [8]. A fundamental result of R. Buchweitz [8] and D. Happel [17] states that for a Gorenstein algebra A, the singularity category is triangle equivalent to the stable category of Gorenstein projective(also called Cohen-Macaulay) A-modules. Buchweitz's Theorem says that there is an exact embedding $\Phi : \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}A \to D_{sg}(A)$ given by $\Phi(M) = M$, where the second M is the corresponding stalk complex at degree 0, and Φ is an equivalence if and only if A is Gorenstein. In general, to provide a categorical characterization of Gorenstein algebras, P. A. Bergh, D. A. Jørgensen and S. Oppermann defined the Gorenstein defect category $D_{def}(A) := D_{sg}(A)/\operatorname{Im}\Phi$ and proved that A is Gorenstein if and only if $D_{def}(A) = 0$ [6].

Let $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ with bimodule ${}_AM_B$. X-W. Chen proved that if A, B are Gorenstein, then the upper triangular matrix algebra $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is Gorenstein if and only if ${}_AM$ and M_B are finitely generated, $\operatorname{proj.dim}_A M < \infty$ and $\operatorname{proj.dim} M_B < \infty$ [11, Theorem 3.3]. Furthermore, the upper triangular matrix rings play very well to recollement. A recollement of triangulated categories is a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30, 18E35.

Key words and phrases. Gorenstein defect category, Gorenstein algebra, Gorenstein projective module, Recollement, Gluing Nakayama algebra.

diagram

$$\mathcal{D}' \underset{i^!}{\overset{i^*}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\xrightarrow{i_*}}}}} \mathcal{D} \underset{j_*}{\overset{j_!}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\xrightarrow{j^*}}}}} \mathcal{D}''$$

of triangulated categories and functors satisfying various conditions, which describes the middle term as being "glued together" from a triangulated subcategory and another one. The recollement setup was first introduced by A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne in [4], which plays an important role in algebraic geometry and representation theory, see for instance [24, 13, 14, 18, 21, 31].

We know that $D^b(\Lambda)$ admits a recollement relative to $D^b(A)$ and $D^b(B)$ if $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$ [14]. P. Zhang proved that if A, B are Gorenstein algebras and ${}_AM$, M_B are projective, then $\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda$ admits a recollement relative to $\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}A$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}B$. The author together with P. Liu generalized this, proved that $\underline{D_{sg}(\Lambda)}$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{sg}(A)$ and $D_{sg}(B)$ if $\mathrm{proj.dim}_A\, M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$ for any Artin rings A and B [23]. In [23], the machinery of localization to the three categories in a recollement is introduced, and we also find conditions for the quotient categories to form a new recollement. We refer the reader to [22] for localization theory of triangulated categories.

The aim of the present article is threefold. First is to construct a recollement of Gorenstein defect categories for upper triangular matrix algebra Λ.

Theorem 1.1. *Let A and B be two finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule such that ${}_AM$ and M_B are finitely generated projective modules, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. If $\mathrm{proj.dim}_B \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, A) < \infty$, then $D_{def}(\Lambda)$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{def}(A)$ and $D_{def}(B)$.*

Second is to give a categorical interpretation of the Gorenstein property of upper triangular matrix algebra Λ.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A and B be two finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. We also assume that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_A\, M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$. Then*

(a) If B is a Gorenstein algebra, then $D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq D_{def}(A)$.

(b) If A is a Gorenstein algebra and $\mathrm{inj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$, then $D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq D_{def}(B)$.

It is difficult to describe the exact subcategory of Gorenstein projective modules. In recent years, C. M. Ringel describe the Gorenstein projective modules over Nakayama algebra clearly [28]. Finally, as a generalization of the structure of the cluster-tilted algebra of type A, we give the definition of gluing Nakayama algebra, see Definition 4.2. We study their Gorenstein property, and get the following statement.

Theorem 1.3. *For any gluing Nakayama algebra Γ, if the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_m = kQ_m/I_m$ of Γ are Gorenstein, then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq \coprod_{i=1}^{m} \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A_i).$$

As a corollary, we get the following. Let $\Lambda = kQ/I$ be a cluster-tilted algebra of type A. Then

$$\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda) \simeq \coprod_{t(Q)} \underline{\mathrm{mod}} S_3,$$

where $t(Q)$ is the number of the triangles of Q, and S_3 is the selfinjective cluster-tilted algebra of type A_3. This result is gotten by M. Kalck in [19], see also [10].

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Professor Liangang Peng very much for his guidance and constant support.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this paper, we always assume that k is a field and all algebras are finite-dimensional algebra over k and modules are finitely generated, and all the categories we consider are k-linear with finite-dimensional Hom spaces.

Let us first recall the definition of recollement for triangulated categories from [4].

Definition 2.1. [4] *A recollement of triangulated categories is a diagram of triangulated categories and triangulated functors*

$$\mathcal{D}' \underset{i^!}{\overset{i^*}{\underset{i_*=i_!}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D} \underset{j_*}{\overset{j_!}{\underset{j^*=j^!}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D}'' \quad (\dagger)$$

satisfying

(R1) (i^*, i_*), $(i_*, i^!)$, $(j_!, j^*)$ *and* (j^*, j_*) *are adjoint pairs;*

(R2) i_*, $j_!$ *and* j_* *are full embeddings;*

(R3) $j^*i_* = 0$ *(and hence, by adjoint properties,* $i^*j_! = 0$ *and* $i^!j_* = 0$*);*

(R4) *Each object* X *in* $\mathcal{D}$ *determines distinguished triangles*

$$i_!i^!X \to X \to j_*j^*X \to \cdot \text{ and } j_!j^!X \to X \to i_*i^*X \to \cdot$$

in $\mathcal{D}$*, where the arrows to and from* X *are counit and unit morphisms.*

Parallel to the recollement of triangulated categories, Franjou and Pirashvili defined recollement of abelian categories: Let $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}''$ be abelian categories. The diagram (†) of additive functors is an abelian category recollement of $\mathcal{D}$ relative to $\mathcal{D}'$ and $\mathcal{D}''$, if $(R1), (R2)$ and $(R5)$ are satisfied, where

(R5) $\operatorname{Im} i_* = \ker j^*$.

The following theorem is useful to construct recollements of quotient categories.

Theorem 2.2. [23] *Let*

$$\mathcal{D}' \underset{i^!}{\overset{i^*}{\underset{i_*}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D} \underset{j_*}{\overset{j_!}{\underset{j^*}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D}''$$

be a recollement of triangulated categories and $\mathcal{T}$ *be an épaisse subcategory of* $\mathcal{D}$*. Let* $\mathcal{T}_1 = i^*\mathcal{T}$ *and* $\mathcal{T}_2 = j^*\mathcal{T}$*. If* $i_*i^*\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ *and* $j_*j^*\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$*, then there exists a recollement of localizations*

$$\mathcal{D}'/\mathcal{T}_1 \underset{\widetilde{i^!}}{\overset{\widetilde{i^*}}{\underset{\widetilde{i_*}}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{T} \underset{\widetilde{j_*}}{\overset{\widetilde{j_!}}{\underset{\widetilde{j^*}}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathcal{D}''/\mathcal{T}_2.$$

2.1. **Gorenstein projective modules and Gorenstein algebras.** Let A be an finite-dimensional k-algebra. Let $\operatorname{mod} A$ be the category of finitely generated left A-modules. With $D = \operatorname{Hom}_k(-, k)$ we denote the standard duality with respect to the ground field. Then ${}_AD(A_A)$ is an injective cogenerator for $\operatorname{mod} A$. For an arbitrary A-module ${}_AX$ we denote by $\operatorname{proj.dim}_A X$ (resp. $\operatorname{inj.dim}_A X$) the projective dimension (resp. the injective dimension) of the module ${}_AX$.

A complex

$$P^\bullet : \cdots \to P^{-1} \to P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \to \cdots$$

of finitely generated projective A-modules is said to be *totally acyclic* provided it is acyclic and the Hom complex $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P^\bullet, A)$ is also acyclic [3]. An A-module M is said to be (finitely generated) *Gorenstein projective* provided that there is a totally acyclic complex $P^\bullet$ of projective A-modules such that $M \cong \mathrm{Ker}\, d^0$ [15]. Dually, one can define *Gorenstein injective* modules. We denote by $\mathrm{Gproj}\, A$ ($\mathrm{Ginj}\, A$) the full subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}\, A$ consisting of Gorenstein projective modules (resp. Gorenstein injective modules).

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}\, A$. Then ${}^\perp\mathcal{X} := \{M | \mathrm{Ext}^i(M, X) = 0, \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{X}, i \geq 1\}$. Dually, we can define $\mathcal{X}^\perp$. In particular, we define ${}^\perp A := {}^\perp(\mathrm{proj}.A)$.

Lemma 2.3. *(a)* [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Gproj}(A) \quad = \quad & \{M \in \Lambda\text{-mod} \,|\, \exists \text{ an exact sequence } 0 \to M \to T^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} T^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots, \\ & \text{with } T^i \in \mathrm{proj}.\, A, \ker d^i \in {}^\perp \mathrm{proj}.\, A, \forall i \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) [31] *If M is Gorenstein projective, then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, L) = 0$, $\forall i > 0$, for all L of finite projective dimension.*

(c) [31] *If $P^\bullet$ is a totally acyclic complex, then all $\mathrm{Im}\, d^i$ are Gorenstein projective; and any truncations*

$$\cdots \to P^i \to \mathrm{Im}\, d^i \to 0, \quad 0 \to \mathrm{Im}\, d^i \to P^{i+1} \to \cdots$$

and

$$0 \to \mathrm{Im}\, d^i \to P^{i+1} \to \cdots \to P^j \to \mathrm{Im}\, d^j \to 0, i < j$$

are $\mathrm{Hom}_A(-, \mathrm{proj}.\, A)$-exact.

Definition 2.4. [1, 2, 17] *A finite-dimensional algebra A is called a Gorenstein algebra if A satisfies $\mathrm{proj.dim}_A D(A_A) < \infty$ and $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A A < \infty$. Given an A-module X. If $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(X, A) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then X is called a Cohen-Macaulay module of A.*

Observe that for a Gorenstein algebra A, we have $\mathrm{inj.dim}_A A = \mathrm{inj.dim}\, A_A$, [17, Lemma 6.9]; the common value is denoted by $\mathrm{Gd}\, A$. If $\mathrm{Gd}\, A \leq d$, we say that A is *d-Gorenstein*.

Theorem 2.5. [15] *Let A be a Gorenstein algebra. Then*

(1) If $P^\bullet$ is an exact sequence of projective left A-modules, then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P^\bullet, A)$ is again an exact sequence of projective right A-modules.

(2) A module G is Gorenstein projective if and only if there is an exact sequence $0 \to G \to P^0 \to P^1 \to \cdots$ with each P^i projective.

(3) $\mathrm{Gproj}\, A = {}^\perp A$.

For a module M, take a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega M \to P \to M \to 0$$

with P projective. The module ΩM is called a *syzygy module* of M.

Theorem 2.6. [3] *Let A be an finite-dimensional algebra and let $d \geq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) the algebra A is d-Gorenstein;

(2) $\mathrm{Gproj}\, A = \Omega^d(\mathrm{mod}\, A)$*;*

(2') $\mathrm{Ginj}\, A = \Omega^{-d}(\mathrm{mod}\, A)$.

In this case, we have $\mathrm{Gproj}\, A = {}^\perp A$.

So for a Gorenstein algebra, the definition of Cohen-Macaulay module coincides with the one of Gorenstein projective.

For an algebra A, we define the *singularity category* of A to be the quotient category $D^b_{sg}(A) := D^b(A)/K^b(\text{proj. } A)$ [17, 25].

Theorem 2.7. [17] *Let A be a Gorenstein algebra. Then* $\text{Gproj}(A)$ *is a Frobenius category with the projective modules as the projective-injective objects.* $\underline{\text{Gproj}}(A)$ *is triangulated equivalent to the singularity category $D_{sg}(A)$ of A.*

3. Gorenstein defect category

Let A be an finite-dimensional algebra over k. Buchweitz's Theorem ([8, Theorem 4.4.1]) says that there is an exact embedding $\Phi : \underline{\text{Gproj}}(A) \hookrightarrow D_{sg}(A)$ given by $\Phi(M) = M$. To measure the difference of $\underline{\text{Gproj}}(A)$ and $D_{sg}(A)$, Bergh, Jørgensen and Oppermann define the *Gorenstein defect category* $D_{def}(A) := D_{sg}(A)/\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ [6].

Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. A left Λ-module is identified with a triple $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi$, where $X \in \operatorname{mod} A$, $Y \in \operatorname{mod} B$, and $\phi : M \otimes_B Y \to X$ is a morphism of A-modules. A morphism $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \to \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix}_{\phi'}$ is a pair $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}$, where $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(X, X')$, $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_B(Y, Y')$, such that $\phi'(\text{id}_M \otimes g) = f\phi$. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} G(Q) \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\text{id}}$ are precisely the indecomposable projective modules, where P and Q are indecomposable projective as A and B modules respectively.

Dually, we have the description of right Λ-module via row vectors. Precisely, a right Λ-module is identified with $(X, Y)_\psi$, where X_A is a right A-module and Y_B is a right B-module, and $\psi : X \otimes_A M \to Y$ is a right B-module morphism.

We have the following recollement of abelian categories.

Theorem 3.1. [31] *Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Denote by $G = M \otimes_B -$. We have the following recollement of abelian categories:*

$$\operatorname{mod} A \underset{i^!}{\overset{i^*}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\xrightarrow{i_*}}}}} \operatorname{mod} \Lambda \underset{j_*}{\overset{j_!}{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\xrightarrow{j^*}}}}} \operatorname{mod} B,$$

where i^* is given by $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \mapsto \operatorname{Coker}(\phi)$; i_* is given by $X \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$; $i^!$ is given by $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \mapsto X$; $j_!$ is given by $Y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} G(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\text{id}}$; j^* is given by $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \mapsto Y$; j_* is given by $Y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 3.2. [12] *Let $F_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an exact functor between abelian categories which has an exact right adjoint F_2. Then the pair $(D^b(F_1), D^b(F_2))$ is adjoint, where $D^b(F_1)$ is the induced functor from $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ to $D^b(\mathcal{B})$ ($D^b(F_2)$ is defined similarly). Moreover, if F_1 is fully faithful, then so is $D^b(F_1)$.*

The following well-known result is very helpful.

Theorem 3.3. [14] *Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule such that ${}_AM$ and M_B are projective, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have the following recollement:*

$$D^b(A) \underset{\overleftarrow{D^b(i^!)}}{\overset{\overleftarrow{\mathbb{L}(i^*)}}{\overrightarrow{D^b(i_*)}}} D^b(\Lambda) \underset{\overleftarrow{D^b(j_*)}}{\overset{\overleftarrow{D^b(j_!)}}{\overrightarrow{D^b(j^*)}}} D^b(B)$$

where $\mathbb{L}(i^*), D^b(i_*), D^b(i^!), D^b(j_!), D^b(j^*), D^b(j_*)$ are the derived functors of these in Theorem 3.1.

Now we prove the main results in this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ a finitely generated A-B-bimodule such that ${}_AM$ and M_B are projective, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. If*

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_B \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A) < \infty,$$

then $D_{def}(\Lambda)$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{def}(A)$ and $D_{def}(B)$.

Proof. By Buchweitz's theorem, we identify the stable category of Gorenstein projective objects with a thick subcategory of the singularity category.

By [23, Theorem 2.5], we get the following recollement:

$$D_{sg}(A) \underset{\overleftarrow{\tilde{i}^!}}{\overset{\overleftarrow{\tilde{i}^*}}{\overrightarrow{\tilde{i}_*}}} D_{sg}(\Lambda) \underset{\overleftarrow{\tilde{j}_*}}{\overset{\overleftarrow{\tilde{j}_!}}{\overrightarrow{\tilde{j}^*}}} D_{sg}(B) \quad (*)$$

where $\tilde{i}^!$, $\tilde{i}_*$, $\tilde{i}^!$, $\tilde{j}_!$, $\tilde{j}^*$ and $\tilde{j}_*$ are induced by the six structure functors in Theorem 3.3.

Denote by G the functor $M \otimes_B -$, and F the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, -)$. Then G and F are exact functors since ${}_AM$ and M_B are projective.

For any $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$(1) \quad 0 \to \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \to \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \oplus G(Q_0) \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_0} \xrightarrow{d^0} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \oplus G(Q_1) \\ Q_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_1} \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots,$$

$$\text{with } \begin{pmatrix} P_i \oplus G(Q_i) \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_i} \in \operatorname{proj.}(\Lambda), \ker d^i \in {}^{\perp}\operatorname{proj.}(\Lambda), \forall\, i \geq 0.$$

where $P_i \in \operatorname{proj.} A, Q_i \in \operatorname{proj.} B$. It is easy to see that $\phi : G(Y) \to X$ is monic since ϕ_0 is monic. Apply j^* to the exact sequence (1), since

$$j^* \begin{pmatrix} P_i \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_i} = Q_i \in \operatorname{proj.} B$$

for all $i \geq 0$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to Y \to Q_0 \xrightarrow{j^*d^0} Q_1 \xrightarrow{j^*d^1} \cdots.$$

In fact, $\ker(j^*d^i) = j^*(\ker d^i)$ for any $i \geq 0$. Note that j^* is exact and preserves projective, we get that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^k_B(j^* \ker d^i, Q) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, j_*Q) = \operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q \end{pmatrix}) = 0, \forall k > 0$$

since $\operatorname{proj.dim}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q \end{pmatrix} \leq 1$ and $\ker d^i$ is Gorenstein projective. So $\tilde{j}^*\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}B$.

Denote $\ker d^i$ in the exact sequence (1) by $\begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ Y_i \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_i}$. Then $\ker d^i \in \mathrm{Gproj}\,\Lambda$, we also get that ψ_i is monic. Applying i^* to the exact sequence (1), we get an exact sequence since ϕ and ψ_i are monic:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker}\phi \to P_0 \xrightarrow{i^*d^0} P_1 \xrightarrow{i^*d^1} \cdots .$$

Note that $\ker(i^*d^i) = i^*(\ker d^i)$, for $i \geq 0$. Let

$$T^i : \cdots \to T_1^i \to T_0^i \to \ker d^i \to 0$$

be a projective resolution of $\ker d^i$. Applying i^* to it, we get a projective resolution $i^*(T^i)$ of $i^* \ker d^i$. So

$$\operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, i_*P) \cong H^k \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(T^i, i_*P) \cong H^k \operatorname{Hom}_A(i^*T^i, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^k_A(i^* \ker d^i, P)$$

for any $k \geq 0$ and $P \in \operatorname{proj.}A$. Since $i_*P = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is projective, we get that $\operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, i_*P) \cong 0$ for $k \geq 1$, and then $\operatorname{Ext}^k_A(i^* \ker d^i, P) = 0$. So $\tilde{i}^*(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi) \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}A$.

Applying $i^!$ to the exact sequence (1), we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to X \to P_0 \oplus G(Q_0) \xrightarrow{i^!d_0} P_1 \oplus G(Q_1) \xrightarrow{i^!d_1} \cdots .$$

In fact $i^!$ admits a right exact functor $i_? : \operatorname{mod}A \to \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ given by $X \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} X \\ F(X) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_X}$, where $\psi_X = \alpha^{-1}_{X,F(X)}(\mathrm{id}_{F(X)})$. For any projective A-module P, there are two exact sequences:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_0 \to \begin{pmatrix} P \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_P} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_0 \to 0,$$

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} GF(P) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_0 \to \begin{pmatrix} GF(P) \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_0 \to 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{proj.dim}(\begin{pmatrix} GF(P) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_0) = \operatorname{proj.dim}_A GF(P) \leq \operatorname{proj.dim}_B F(P)$ since G is exact and preserves projective, and $\operatorname{proj.dim}\begin{pmatrix} GF(P) \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} = \operatorname{proj.dim}_B F(P)$. By the assumption

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_B \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A) < \infty,$$

we get that

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_0 < \infty$$

and then

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}\begin{pmatrix} P \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_P} < \infty.$$

Since $i^!$ is exact and preserves projectives, similar to i^*, we get that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^k_B(i^! \ker d^i, P) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, i_?P) = \operatorname{Ext}^k_\Lambda(\ker d^i, \begin{pmatrix} P \\ F(P) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_P}) = 0, k \geq 1.$$

Thus $\tilde{i}^!(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_\phi) \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}A$.

Similarly, we can check that $\tilde{i}_*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}A) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda$. Then

$$\tilde{i}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) = \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A) \tag{2}$$

by $\tilde{i}^*\tilde{i}_* \simeq \mathrm{id}$. From it, we get that

$$\tilde{i}_*\tilde{i}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\tilde{i}_*\tilde{i}^!(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda). \tag{4}$$

By (R4) and $\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda$ is an épaisse subcategory of $D_{def}(\Lambda)$, we get that

$$\tilde{j}_*\tilde{j}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda). \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, for any $Y \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}B$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Y \to Q^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} Q^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots, \text{ with } Q^i \in \mathrm{proj}.\, B, \ker d^i \in {}^{\perp}\mathrm{proj}.\, B, \forall i \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

Apply $j_!$ to it, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} G(Y) \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \begin{pmatrix} G(Q_0) \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \xrightarrow{j_!d^0} \begin{pmatrix} G(Q^1) \\ Q_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \xrightarrow{j_!d^1} \cdots.$$

For any projective object $\begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \in \mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_\Lambda(j_!\ker d^i, \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_B(\ker d^i, j^*\begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) = \mathrm{Ext}^k_B(\ker d^i, Q) = 0, \forall k > 0.$$

So $\tilde{j}_!(Y) \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda$. In fact, we have proved that

$$\tilde{j}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}\Lambda) = \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}B \tag{7}$$

since $\tilde{j}^*\tilde{j}_! \simeq \mathrm{id}$.

From the Equalities (2), (3), (5), (7) and Theorem 2.2, we get that $D_{def}(\Lambda)$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{def}(A)$ and $D_{def}(B)$. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let A be an finite-dimensional algebras. Then $D_{def}(\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix})$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{def}(A)$ and $D_{def}(A)$.*

For any finite-dimensional algebra A, we have that $D_{def}(A)$ is zero if and only if A is Gorenstein [6]. X-W. Chen proved the following: if A, B are Gorenstein, then the upper triangular matrix algebra $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ is Gorenstein if and only if ${}_AM$ and M_B are finitely generated, $\mathrm{proj}.\dim_A M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj}.\dim M_B < \infty$ [11, Theorem 3.3]. Following Chen, B. Xiong and P. Zhang proved that if $\mathrm{proj}.\dim_A M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj}.\dim M_B < \infty$, then Λ is Gorenstein if and only if A and B are Gorenstein [29, Thoerem 2.2]. In the following, we will try to interpret these results by the Gorentein defect categories.

Lemma 3.6. [15] *Let R be a Gorenstein ring, N a left R-module. Then N has finite projective dimension if and only if N has finite injective dimension.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. We also assume that $\mathrm{proj}.\dim_A M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj}.\dim M_B < \infty$.*

(a) If B is a Gorenstein algebra, then $D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq D_{def}(A)$.

(b) If A is a Gorenstein algebra and $\mathrm{inj}.\dim_B M_B < \infty$, then $D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq D_{def}(B)$.

Proof. (a) By [23], we get that $D_{sg}(\Lambda)$ admits a recollement relative to $D_{sg}(A)$ and $D_{sg}(B)$ as the diagram $(*)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.4 shows, where the six structure functors are induced by the derived functors of the ones in Theorem 3.1. In fact, $i_*, i^!, j^*, j_*$ are exact.

For any $X \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)$, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to X \to P_0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P_1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots, \tag{8}$$
$$\text{with } P_i \in \mathrm{proj.}\, A, \ker d^i \in {}^{\perp}\mathrm{proj.}\, A, \forall i \geq 0.$$

Applying i_* to the exact sequence (8), we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \to \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{i_*d^0} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{i_*d^1} \cdots. \tag{9}$$

Note that $\begin{pmatrix} P_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are projective for $i \geq 0$. For any projective Λ-module U, $i^!U \cong P \oplus M \otimes_B Q$ for some projective A-module P, and projective B-module Q. Since $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$, we get that $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M \otimes_B Q < \infty$, so

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_{\Lambda}(i_* \ker d_i, U) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_A(\ker d_i, i^!U) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_A(\ker d_i, P \oplus M \otimes_B Q) = 0, \forall k > 0.$$

Thus $\tilde{i}_*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$. So $\tilde{i}_*$ induces a full functor from $D_{def}(A)$ to $D_{def}(\Lambda)$, which is also denoted by $\tilde{i}_*$. In the following, we will prove that $\tilde{i}_* : D_{def}(A) \to D_{def}(\Lambda)$ is full faithful and dense.

First, we prove that $\tilde{i}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)$. For any $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \to \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \oplus M \otimes_B Q_0 \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_0} \xrightarrow{d^0} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \oplus M \otimes_B Q_1 \\ Q_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_1} \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots, \tag{10}$$
$$\text{with } \begin{pmatrix} P_i \oplus M \otimes_B Q_i \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}_{\phi_i} \in \mathrm{proj.}\, \Lambda, \ker d^i \in {}^{\perp}\mathrm{proj.}\, \Lambda, \forall\, i \geq 0.$$

In fact, $\phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}$. So $\phi : M \otimes_B Y \to X$ is monic. Applying i^* to the exact sequence (10), we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Coker}\, \phi \to P_0 \xrightarrow{i^*d^0} P_1 \xrightarrow{i^*d^1} \cdots.$$

Note that $\ker(i^*d^i) = i^*(\ker d^i)$, for $i \geq 0$. So

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_A(\ker(i^*d^i), P) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_A(i^*(\ker d^i), P) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_{\Lambda}(\ker d^i, i_*P) = 0, \forall k > 0$$

follows from that $i_*P = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is projective. So $\tilde{i}^*(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)$.

For any $X_1, X_2 \in D_{sg}(A)$, and $f : X_1 \to X_2$, if

$$\tilde{i}_*(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is zero in $D_{def}(\Lambda)$, then it factors through some object $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$. Applying $\tilde{i}^*$, we get that $f : X_1 \to X_2$ factors through $\mathrm{Coker}\, \phi = i^*(\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)$. It follows that $\tilde{i}_* : D_{def}(A) \to D_{def}(\Lambda)$ is full faithful.

For any $Y \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(B)$, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Y \to Q^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} Q^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots, \text{ with } Q^i \in \mathrm{proj.}\, B, \ker d^i \in {}^{\perp}\mathrm{proj.}\, B, \forall i \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

Since $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$, we get that $\mathrm{inj.dim}_B\, DM < \infty$. Then $\mathrm{proj.dim}_B\, DM < \infty$ by Lemma 3.6. We get that $D\mathrm{Tor}_1^B(M, \ker d^i) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_B^1(\ker d^i, DM) \cong 0$. So

$$0 \to M \otimes_B Y \to M \otimes_B Q^0 \to M \otimes_B Q^1 \to \cdots$$

is also exact. Therefore, applying $j_!$ to the exact sequence (11), we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} M \otimes_B Y \\ Y \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \begin{pmatrix} M \otimes_B Q^0 \\ Q_0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \xrightarrow{j_! d^0} \begin{pmatrix} M \otimes_B Q^1 \\ Q_1 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \xrightarrow{j_! d^1} \cdots .$$

Now, $\begin{pmatrix} M \otimes_B Q^i \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}}$ are projective. For any projective object $\begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi} \in \mathrm{mod}\,\Lambda$, we have Q is projective as B-module, so

$$\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^k(j_! \ker d^i, \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_B^k(\ker d^i, j^* \begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\phi}) = \mathrm{Ext}_B^k(\ker d^i, Q) = 0, \forall k > 0.$$

So $\tilde{j}_!(D_{sg}(B)) = \tilde{j}_!(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(B)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$ for B is a Gorenstein algebra.

For any object $T \in D_{sg}(\Lambda)$, we have the following distinguished triangle in $D_{sg}(\Lambda)$:

$$\tilde{j}_!\tilde{j}^*T \to T \to \tilde{i}_*\tilde{i}^*T \to \cdot.$$

But $\tilde{j}_!\tilde{j}^*T \in \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$ and then $T \cong \tilde{i}_*\tilde{i}^*T$ in $D^b_{def}(\Lambda)$, so $\tilde{i}_* : D_{def}(A) \to D_{def}(\Lambda)$ is dense. Therefore, $D_{def}(A) \simeq D_{def}(\Lambda)$.

For (b), by the proof of (a), we get that

$$\tilde{i}_*(D_{sg}(A)) = \tilde{i}_*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda).$$

Because $\mathrm{inj.dim}_B\, M_B < \infty$, from the proof of (a), we also get that $\tilde{j}_!(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(B)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)$. So $\tilde{j}_!$ induces a functor from $D_{def}(B)$ to $D_{def}(\Lambda)$, which is also denoted by $\tilde{j}_!$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, we get that $\tilde{j}^*(\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Lambda)) \subseteq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(B)$. From these, we can prove that $\tilde{j}_! : D_{def}(B) \to D_{def}(\Lambda)$ is full faithful and dense similar to the proof of (a).

□

Corollary 3.8. *Let A and B be finite-dimensional algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. We also assume that $\mathrm{proj.dim}_A\, M < \infty$ and $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$.*

(a) If B is a Gorenstein algebra, then Λ is Gorenstein if and only if A is.

(b) If A is a Gorenstein algebra, Λ is Gorenstein if and only if B is.

Proof. By Theorem 3.7, (a) is trivial.

For (b), if B is Gorenstein, then $\mathrm{inj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$ since $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$, so $D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq 0$, then Λ is Gorenstein. On the other hand, because $\mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$, we get that $\mathrm{proj.dim}(0, M) = \mathrm{proj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$. Since Λ is Gorenstein, then $\mathrm{inj.dim}(0, M) < \infty$. Note that the injective Λ-modules are precisely of form $(J, 0) \oplus (\mathrm{Hom}_B(M, I), I)$, where J, I are injective A-module and B-module respectively. Let

$$0 \to (0, M) \to (J_0 \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, I_0), I_0)_{\psi_0} \to \cdots \to (J_r \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_B(M, I_r), I_r)_{\psi_r} \to 0$$

be a minimal injective resolution of $(0, M)$. Then I_i, J_i are injective as B-module and A-module respectively. Furthermore, it is easy to see that

$$0 \to M \to I_0 \to \cdots \to I_r \to 0$$

is also exact. So $\mathrm{inj.dim}\, M_B < \infty$. By Theorem 3.7, we get that $D_{def}(B) \simeq D_{def}(\Lambda) \simeq 0$. So B is also Gorenstein.

□

4. Gluing of Nakayama algebras

Let Q be a finite quiver. For any arrow α in Q, we denote by $s(\alpha), t(\alpha)$ the source and the target of α respectively. The path algebra kQ of Q is an associative algebra with an identity. If I is an admissible ideal of kQ, the pair (Q, I) is said to be a bound quiver. The quotient algebra kQ/I is said to be the algebra of the bound quiver (Q, I), or simply, a bound quiver algebra.

In this section, we shall freely identify the representations of (Q, I) with left modules over kQ/I.

An algebra A is called a Nakayama algebra if it is both right and left serial. That is, A is a Nakayama algebra if and only if every indecomposable projective A-module and every indecomposable injective A-module are uniserial.

Lemma 4.1. *A basic and connected algebra A is a Nakayama algebra if and only if its ordinary quiver Q_A is one of the following two quivers:*

(a) Nakayama quiver of Type I:

$$\circ_1 \leftarrow \circ_2 \leftarrow \circ_3 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \circ_{n-1} \leftarrow \circ_n.$$

(b)



Figure 1. Nakayama quiver of Type II: an oriented cyclic quiver with n vertices.

For any Nakayama algebra $A = kQ_A/I$, we always assume that any path of length greater than n is zero in A. Furthermore, we assume that the Nakayama algebra A is Gorenstein.

As a generalization of the structure of the cluster-tilted algebra of type A, we give the definition of gluing Nakayama algebra as follows.

Definition 4.2. *Let $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_n = kQ_m/I_m$ be m Nakayama algebras. We define a gluing quiver of $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_m$ inductively.*

Given two vertices $v_1 \in Q_1$ and $v_2 \in Q_2$, we define a connected quiver $Q_{1,2}$ from Q_1, Q_2 by identifying v_1 to v_2. This is called a gluing quiver of Q_1 and Q_2.

Let $v_{1,2} \in Q_{1,2}$ and $v_3 \in Q_3$ be two vertices. We define a connected quiver $Q_{1,2,3}$ from $Q_{1,2}$ and Q_3 by identifying $v_{1,2}$ to v_3. Inductively, we can define a quiver $Q = Q_{1,\ldots,m}$ from $Q_{1,\ldots,m-1}$ and Q_m.

In this way, Q is called the gluing quiver of $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_m$ and $A = kQ/I$ is called the gluing Nakayama algebra, where the ideal I is generated by $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m\}$; $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_m$ are called to be the gluing components of Q; $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m$ are called to be the gluing components of A.

Example 4.3. *(1) Let $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_m$ be m oriented cyclic quivers where Q_i has n_i vertices, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Given any vertex $v_i \in Q_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. The gluing quiver Q is defined by identifying all the vertices v_i to a vertex. This is called the*

simple gluing Nakayama quiver of type II, denoted by (Q, v), where the vertex v of Q is glued by $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, and called the glued vertex. The special case of $m = 2$ is showed in the following Figure 2.

(2) Let $(Q_1, v_1), (Q_2, v_2)$ be two simple gluing Nakayama quiver of type II, and Q_0 be a Nakayama quiver of type I as Lemma 4.1 (a) shows. The gluing quiver Q from Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, is defined to be the quiver by identifying the vertices $v_1 \in Q_1$ and $1 \in Q_0$, and the vertices $v_2 \in Q_2$ and $n \in Q_0$. The special case of Q_0 is of type A_2 is showed in the following Figure 3.



Figure 2. The simple gluing Nakayama quiver of Type II with two component.



Figure 3. The gluing quiver from two simple gluing Nakayama quiver of type II and one of type I.

Lemma 4.4. [11] *Let A and B be two Gorenstein algebras, ${}_AM_B$ an A-B-bimodule such that ${}_AM$ and M_B are projective, and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$. Then Λ is Gorenstein. In particular, if $\operatorname{Gd} A \neq \operatorname{Gd} B$, then $\operatorname{Gd}(\Lambda) = \max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$; Otherwise, $\operatorname{Gd}(\Lambda) \leq \operatorname{Gd} A + 1$.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma is similar to [11, Theorem 3.3].

Let $G = M \otimes_B -$, $F = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, -)$. They are exact functors. For any indecomposable injective Λ-module, it is of form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} J \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_J}$ where I and J are injective B-module and A-module respectively. For $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix}$, we know that $\operatorname{proj.dim}_B I \leq \operatorname{Gd} B$. There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} G(I) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} G(I) \\ I \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix} \to 0.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} G(I) \\ I \end{pmatrix}_{\mathrm{id}} = \operatorname{proj.dim}_B I \leq \operatorname{Gd} B$$

and

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} G(I) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{proj.dim}_A G(I) \leq \operatorname{proj.dim}_B I \leq \operatorname{Gd} B$$

since G is exact and preserves projectives. So $\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I \end{pmatrix} \leq \operatorname{Gd} B + 1$.

For $\begin{pmatrix} J \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_J}$, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} J \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_J} \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix} \to 0.$$

we get that $\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{proj.dim}_A J \leq \operatorname{Gd} A$ and

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix} \leq \operatorname{Gd} B + 1$$

since $F(J)$ is injective as B-module. So

$$\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} J \\ F(J) \end{pmatrix}_{\psi_J} \leq \max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B + 1\}.$$

Therefor, the projective dimension of any injective Λ-module is less than

$$\max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B + 1\}.$$

Dually, we can prove that for any indecomposable projective Λ-module, its injective dimension is less than $\max\{\operatorname{Gd} A + 1, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$. Then Λ is Gorenstein. If $\operatorname{Gd} A \neq \operatorname{Gd} B$, then $\operatorname{Gd} \Lambda \leq \max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$. Conversely, it is easy to see that $\max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\} \leq \operatorname{Gd} \Lambda$. So $\operatorname{Gd} \Lambda = \max\{\operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$.

If $\operatorname{Gd} A = \operatorname{Gd} B$, then it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Gd} \Lambda \leq \operatorname{Gd} A + 1$. □

Let $A = kQ'/I'$ and $B = kQ''/I''$. Let Q be the quiver glued by Q', Q'' as the following picture shows:



Figure 4. The gluing quiver Q from Q' and Q''

Let $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where I is the ideal generated by I' and I''. Then Λ is the upper matrix algebra $\begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$, where ${}_AM$ and M_B are projective. If A and B are Gorenstein algebras, then Λ is a Gorenstein algebra.

Lemma 4.5. [10] *Let $A = kQ'/I'$ and $B = kQ''/I''$. Let Q be the quiver glued by Q', Q'' as the Figure* 4 *shows. Let $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where I is the ideal generated by I' and I''. Then*

$$\underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(\Lambda) \simeq \underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(A) \coprod \underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(B).$$

For the remaining of this section, let $A = kQ_A/I_1$ and $B = kQ_B/I_2$ be two simple gluing Nakayama algebras, Λ be the bound quiver algebra kQ_Λ/I_Λ as Figure 3 shows. We also let $\Gamma = kQ_\Gamma/I_\Gamma$ be the simple gluing Nakayama algebra from A and B by identifying vertices v_1 and v_2. Denote by $v \in Q_\Gamma$ the glued vertex.

Since B is a subalgebra of Γ, every Γ-module is naturally a B-module, there exists an exact functor $j : \operatorname{mod} \Gamma \to \operatorname{mod} B$.

We define the functor $j_\lambda : \operatorname{mod} B \to \operatorname{mod} \Gamma$ as follows. Given any $Y \in \operatorname{mod} B$, it corresponds to a representation (Y_i, ϕ_α) of (Q_B, I_2). If the linear space Y_v has dimension l, define a representation (N_j, ψ_β) of (Q_Λ, I_Λ), with $N_j = Y_j$ if j is a vertex of Q_B, with $N_j = k^l$ if j is a vertex of Q_A and there is a nonzero path from v

to j; with $\psi_\beta = \phi_\beta$ if β is an arrow of Q_B, with $\psi_\beta = \mathrm{id}$ if β is an arrow of Q_A and $N_{s(\beta)} = k^l = N_{t(\beta)}$, otherwise zero. (N_j, ψ_β) corresponds to a module $N \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. We define $j_\lambda(Y) := N$, it acts on morphisms naturally. In fact, j_λ is an exact fully faithful functor and (j_λ, j) is an adjoint pair.

We define another functor $j_\rho : \operatorname{mod} B \to \operatorname{mod}\Gamma$ as follows. Given any $Y \in \operatorname{mod} B$, it corresponds to a representation (Y_i, ϕ_α) of (Q_B, I_2). If the linear space Y_v has dimension l, define a representation (L_j, ψ_β) of (Q_Λ, I_Λ), with $L_j = Y_j$ if j is a vertex of Q_B, with $L_j = k^l$ if j is a vertex of Q_A and there is a nonzero path from j to v; with $\psi_\beta = \phi_\beta$ if β is an arrow of Q_B, with $\psi_\beta = \mathrm{id}$ if β is an arrow of Q_A and $N_{s(\beta)} = k^l = N_{t(\beta)}$, otherwise zero. (L_j, ψ_β) corresponds to a module $L \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. We define $j_\rho(Y) := L$, it acts on morphisms naturally. In fact, j_ρ is an exact fully faithful functor and (j, j_ρ) is an adjoint pair.

It is easy to see that j_λ, j preserve projective objects, and j_ρ preserves injective objects.

Dually, we can define $i : \operatorname{mod}\Gamma \to \operatorname{mod} A$ as j; $i_\lambda, i_\rho : \operatorname{mod} A \to \operatorname{mod}\Gamma$ as j_λ, j_ρ.

Similar to the upper triangular matrix algebra, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Keep the notations as above. If A and B are Gorenstein algebras, then Γ is also a Gorenstein algebra. In particular,* $\operatorname{Gd}(\Gamma) \leq \max\{1, \operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$.

Proof. Let ${}_\Gamma I = (I_j, \psi_\beta)$ be an indecomposable injective module of Γ. It corresponds to a vertex $w \in Q_\Gamma$. Without losing generality, we assume that $w \in Q_A$. Let ${}_A J$ be the indecomposable injective module of A corresponding to w. Since A is Gorenstein, $\operatorname{proj.dim}_A J < \infty$. Let

$$0 \to P^r \to \cdots \to P^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0}_A J \to 0 \tag{12}$$

be a minimal projective resolution of ${}_A I$. If ${}_A J_v = 0$, then $i_\lambda({}_A J) =_\Gamma I$. Applying i_λ to (12), we get a projective resolution of ${}_\Gamma I$:

$$0 \to i_\lambda(P^r) \to \cdots \to i_\lambda(P^1) \to i_\lambda(P^0) \to_\Gamma I \to 0 \tag{13}$$

since i_λ is an exact functor which also preserves projective objects. So $\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Gamma I \leq \operatorname{Gd} A$.

If ${}_A J_v \neq 0$, let ${}_B R$ be the indecomposable injective module of B corresponding to the vertex v_2(also vertex v in Q_Γ). Since B is also Gorenstein, let

$$0 \to Q^s \to \cdots \to Q^1 \xrightarrow{e^1} Q^0 \xrightarrow{e^0}_B R \to 0 \tag{14}$$

be the minimal projective resolution of R. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to i_\lambda(\ker d^0) \oplus j_\lambda(\ker e^0) \oplus_\Gamma T \to i_\lambda(P^0) \oplus j_\lambda(Q^0) \to_\Gamma I \to 0, \tag{15}$$

where ${}_\Gamma T$ is the indecomposable projective module of Γ corresponding to the vertex v. Since $\operatorname{proj.dim} i_\lambda(\ker d^0) \leq r - 1$, $\operatorname{proj.dim} j_\lambda(\ker e^0) \leq s - 1$, we get $\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Gamma I \leq \max\{1, \operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$.

Dually, we can prove that every projective Γ-module has finite injective dimension. So Γ is Gorenstein, and from the proof, we also get that $\operatorname{Gd}(\Gamma) \leq \max\{1, \operatorname{Gd} A, \operatorname{Gd} B\}$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Keep the notations as above. If A and B are Gorenstein algebras, then* $\underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq \underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(A) \coprod \underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(B)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, we know that Γ is also Gorenstein. From Theorem 2.7, we also get that $\underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq D_{sg}(\Gamma)$, $\underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(A) \simeq D_{sg}(A)$, $\underline{\operatorname{Gproj}}(B) \simeq D_{sg}(B)$.

By the construction above, we get that the functors j, j_λ, j_ρ induce functors on the bounded derived categories, also denoted by the same notations. It is easy to see that j_λ and j preserve projective objects, they induces functors on the singularity categories, denoted by $\tilde{j}_\lambda, \tilde{j}$.

Given any projective object $Q \in \operatorname{mod} B$. Since B is Gorenstein, it has a finite injective resolution: $0 \to Q \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots \to I^t \to 0$. Since j_ρ is exact and preserves injective objects, we get that $j_\rho(Q)$ has finite injective dimension as Γ-module, but Γ is also Gorenstein, it follows that $j_\rho(Q)$ has finite projective dimension. So j_ρ maps $K^b(\operatorname{proj}.B)$ to $K^b(\operatorname{proj}.\Gamma)$, and then it induces a functor on the singularity categories, denoted by $\tilde{j}_\rho$.

Similarly, i_λ, i induces functors on the singularity categories, denoted by $\tilde{i}_\lambda$, $\tilde{i}$.

In particular, it is easy to see that $\tilde{j}_\lambda$, $\tilde{j}_\rho$ and $\tilde{i}_\lambda$ are fully faithful, since $\tilde{j}\tilde{j}_\lambda \simeq \mathrm{id}$, etc.. In the following, we prove that $\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{i}_\lambda) = \ker \tilde{j}$. For any module $X \in \operatorname{mod} A$, it corresponds to a representation (X_i, ϕ_α). Then $j \circ i_\lambda(X) =_B R^{\oplus r}$, where ${}_BR$ is the indecomposable projective module corresponding to the vertex v_2, $r = \dim X_{v_1}$. So $\tilde{j} \circ \tilde{i}_\lambda = 0$.

On the other hand, for any module $Y \in \operatorname{mod}\Gamma$, if $j(Y) \simeq 0$ in $K^b(\operatorname{proj}.B)$, then $j(Y)$ has finite projective dimension as B-module. Let $Q^0 \xrightarrow{e^0} j(Y)$ be the projective cover of $j(Y)$. Similarly, let $P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} i(Y)$ be the projective cover of $i(Y)$. Then there exists the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to j_\lambda(\ker e^0) \oplus i_\lambda(\ker d^0) \oplus T^{\oplus s} \to j_\lambda(Q^0) \oplus i_\lambda(P^0) \to Y \to 0, \tag{16}$$

where T is the indecomposable projective module corresponding to v and $s = \dim Y_v$. Then $Y \simeq \Sigma(i_\lambda(\ker d^0))$ in $D^b_{sg}(\Gamma)$ since $j_\lambda(\ker e^0)$ has finite projective dimension, where Σ is the suspension functor.

By [14, Theorem 1.1], we get that there is a recollement:

$$D_{sg}(A) \underset{\tilde{i}}{\overset{\tilde{i}_\mu}{\rightleftarrows}} \overset{\tilde{i}_\lambda}{\underset{}{\rightarrow}} D_{sg}(\Gamma) \underset{\tilde{j}_\rho}{\overset{\tilde{j}_\lambda}{\rightleftarrows}} \overset{\tilde{j}}{\underset{}{\rightarrow}} D_{sg}(B),$$

where $\tilde{i}_\mu$ is the left adjoint functor of $\tilde{i}_\lambda$.

So for any $X \in D_{sg}(\Gamma)$, there exist a distinguished triangle

$$\tilde{i}_\lambda\tilde{i}X \to X \to \tilde{j}_\rho\tilde{j}X \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma\tilde{i}_\lambda\tilde{i}X. \tag{17}$$

We claim that $h = 0$ for any X. Since $D_{sg}(\Gamma) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Gamma)$, we assume that $X \in \operatorname{Gproj}\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{mod}\Gamma$. Let $\dim X_v = r$ and I the indecomposable injective A-module corresponding to v_1. Then it is easy to see that h factors through ${}_\Gamma i_\lambda(I)^{\oplus r}$. By A is Gorenstein, we get that $\operatorname{proj.dim}_A I < \infty$, and then $\operatorname{proj.dim}_\Gamma i_\lambda(I) < \infty$ since i_λ is exact and preserves projective modules. So $h = 0$. It implies the triangle (17) splits, so $X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, where $X_1 = \tilde{i}_\lambda\tilde{i}X \in \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{i}_\lambda)$, $X_2 = \tilde{j}_\rho\tilde{j}X \in \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{j}_\rho)$. In fact, $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{sg}(\Gamma)}(\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{i}_\lambda), \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{j}_\rho)) = 0$; Similar to the above, we can get that $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{sg}(\Gamma)}(\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{j}_\rho), \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{i}_\lambda)) = 0$. Thus $D_{sg}(\Gamma) \simeq D_{sg}(A) \coprod D_{sg}(B)$. It follows that $\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A) \coprod \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(B)$. □

Proposition 4.8. *For any gluing Nakayama algebra Γ, if the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_m = kQ_m/I_m$ of Γ are Gorenstein, then Γ is Gorenstein.*

Proof. We prove it by inducting on m. By Lemma 4.4, we assume that all the gluing components are of type II.

If $m = 1$, it is obvious. We assume that for any gluing Nakayama algebra A' with $m-1$ gluing components is Gorenstein. For n, let A be the gluing Nakayama algebra with the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_{m-1} = kQ_{m-1}/I_{m-1}$. Let $B = kQ_m/I_m$. With the same proof as Lemma 4.6, we can get Γ is Gorenstein. □

Corollary 4.9. *For any gluing Nakayama algebra Γ, if the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_m = kQ_m/I_m$ of Γ are selfinjective, then Γ is Gorenstein with the Gorenstein dimension at most 1.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.6 inductively. □

Theorem 4.10. *For any gluing Nakayama algebra Γ, if the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_m = kQ_m/I_m$ of Γ are Gorenstein, then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq \coprod_{i=1}^{m} \underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(A_i).$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on m. If $m = 1$, it is obvious. For m, if there exist A_i such that it is of type I, then by [10, Lemma 3.5] and the inductive hypothesis, we get the conclusion immediately. If all of A_i are of type II, by Lemma 4.7, we also get the conclusion immediately. □

Corollary 4.11. *For any gluing Nakayama algebra Γ, if the gluing components $A_1 = kQ_1/I_1$, $A_2 = kQ_2/I_2$, $\cdots$, $A_m = kQ_m/I_m$ of Γ are selfinjective, then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(\Gamma) \simeq \coprod_{i=1}^{m} \underline{\mathrm{mod}}(A_i).$$

Corollary 4.12. [19, 10] *Let kQ/I be a cluster-tilted algebra of type A. Then*

$$\underline{\mathrm{Gproj}}(kQ/I) \simeq \coprod_{t(Q)} \underline{\mathrm{mod}} S_3,$$

where $t(Q)$ is the number of the triangles of Q, and S_3 is the selfinjective cluster-tilted algebra of type A_3.

Proof. From [9, 7], we know that cluster-tilted algebras of type A are gluing Nakayama algebras, so it follows from Theorem 4.10 immediately. □

References

[1] M. Auslander and I. Reiten. Application of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86 (1991), 111-152.

[2] M. Auslander and I. Reiten. Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein Artin algebras, In: Progress in Math. 95, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, (1991), 221-245.

[3] L. L. Avramov, A. Martsinkovsky. Absolute, relative and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimensions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 85(3)(2002), 393-440.

[4] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100 (1982), 5-171.

[5] A. Beligiannis, Cohen-Macaulay modules, (co)torsion pairs and virtually Gorenstein algebras, J. Algebra 288 (2005), 137-211.

[6] P. A. Bergh, D. A. Jørgensen and S. Oppermann, The Gorenstein defect category, availble in arXiv Math. CT 1202.2876, 2012.

[7] A. B. Buan, D. F. Vatne, Derived equivalence classification for cluster-tilted algebras of type A_n, J. Algebra 319 (7), 2723-2738 (2008)

[8] R. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein Rings, Unpublished Manuscript, 1987. Availble at: Http://hdl.handle.net/1807/16682.

[9] P. Caldero, F. Chapoton and R. Schiffler, Quivers with relations arising from clusters (A_n case). Transactions of the AMS. 358 (2006), 1347-1364.

[10] X. Chen, S. Geng and M. Lu, The stable categories of the Gorenstein projective modules over Cluster-tilted algebras of Dynkin type, preprint.

[11] X-W. Chen, Singularity categories, Schur functors and triangular matrix rings, Algebr. Represent. Theor. (2009)12, 181-191.

[12] X-W. Chen, A recollement of vector bundles, Bull. London Math. Soc. (2011) doi: 10.1112/blms/bdr092.

[13] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Algebraic stratification in representation categories, J. Algebra 104 (1986), 397-409.

[14] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Finite dimensional algebras and highest weight categories, Jour. reine angew. Math. 391 (1988), 85-99.

[15] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda. Relative homological algebra, De Gruyter Exp. Math. 30. Walter De Gruyter Co., 2000.
[16] V. Franjou, T. Pirashvili, Comparison of abelian categories recollement, Doc. Math. 9(2004) 41-56.
[17] D. Happel, On Gorenstein algebras, In: Progress in Math. 95, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991, 389-404.
[18] P. Jøgensen, Recollement for differential graded algebras, J. Algebra 299 (2006), 589-601.
[19] M. Kalck, Singularity categories of gentle algebras, arXiv:1207.6941.
[20] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Grundlehren 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (1990).
[21] S. Koenig, Tilting complexes, perpendicular categories and recollements of derived module categories of rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 73 (1991), 211-232.
[22] H. Krause, Localization theory for triangulated categories, in: Triangulated categories, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 375, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 161-235.
[23] P. Liu and M. Lu, Recollements, Singularity categories and monomorphism categories, preprint, to appeat in Commutations in algebra.
[24] R. Macpherson and K. Vilonen, Elementary construction of perverse sheaves, Invent. Math. 84(1986), 403-436.
[25] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models, Proc. Steklv Inst. Math. 246(3) (2004), 227-248.
[26] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities and equivalences between LandauCGinzburg models, Mat. Sb. 197 (2006), 1827-1840. Also see arXiv: math.AG/0503630.
[27] D. Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities, preprint, arXiv: math.AG/0503632.
[28] C. M. Ringel, The Gorenstein projective modules for the Nakayama algebras. I, J.Algebra 385(2013), 241-261.
[29] B. L. Xiong and P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules over triangular matrix Artin algebras, J. Algebra Appl. 11(4)(2012) 1250066.
[30] P. Zhang, Monomorphism categories, cotilting theory, and Gorenstein-projective modules, J. Algebra 339 (2011), 181-202.
[31] P. Zhang, Gorenstein-projective modules and symmetric recollements, J. Algebra 388(2013), 65-80.

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R.China
E-mail address: luming@scu.edu.cn